

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,284	03/12/2004	Kyung-geun Lee	1293.1741	6448	
49455 STEIN MCEN	155 7590 02/27/2008 FEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1400 EYE STR			DANG, HUNG Q		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/798,284	LEE ET AL.			
Cinco riodon Canimary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	HUNG Q. DANG	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	nuary 2008.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17-29 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
A44	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/03/2004, 01/04/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/798,284 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

As agreed upon during the interview conducted on 01/08/2008, the Examiner let Applicant have an opportunity to amend the claims to overcome 35 USC 101 rejections. So that the finality of the last office action are withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 01/29/2008 have been fully considered. Claims 1-16 have been amended to recite a "computer-readable medium". However, the Examiner still believes the rejections still stand because the amended claims still recite non-functional descriptive materials. Applicant's arguments regarding this matter have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to a computer-executable program that causes the computer to perform a sequence of positive steps, which is not intended use, the medium recited by the claims 1-16 storing only four pieces of data which "indicate whether the drive can record and reproduce data on the information storage medium." However, indicating "whether the drive can record and reproduce data on the information storage medium" is an intended use, not a step or a sequence of steps that causes a computer to perform a specific useful task. Thus, they are non-statutory for that reason.

At page 11, Applicant argues claim 1, for example, is statutory by suggesting an analogy to an exemplary language quoted from a section of Annex IV of the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, as follows: "The presence of the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material is not necessarily determinative of nonstatutory subject matter. For example, a computer that

Art Unit: 2621

recognizes a particular grouping of musical notes read from memory and upon recognizing that particular sequence, causes another defined series of notes to be played, defines a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data, and as such is statutory because it implement a statutory process."

In response, the Examiner respectfully submits that the two situations are not analogous. The quoted passage shows an example of statutory subject matters (because it claims a computer, which is statutory), even though nonstatutory subject materials (which is the grouping of musical notes) are present in the claim.

Contrary to the language recited in claim 1, a better analogy to the above-quoted passage would be: "a computer that reads and recognizes maximum recording speed information, minimum recording speed information, maximum reproducing speed information, and minimum reproducing speed information, causes a drive to record and reproduce ... [in some way]."

In other words, "a computer that reads and recognizes nonstatutory subject matters to perform a statutory process" is definitely statutory, which is the case described in the quoted passage, contrary to "a medium that stores nonstatutory subject matters," which is the case of the claim language of the current invention.

In conclusion, the 35 USC § 101 rejections of claims 1-16 are not overcome by this amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

10/798,284 Art Unit: 2621

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Nonfunctional descriptive material that does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. Certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs, and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. USPTO personnel should be prudent in applying the foregoing guidance. Nonfunctional descriptive material may be claimed in combination with other functional descriptive multimedia material on a computer-readable medium to provide the necessary functional and structural interrelationship to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. The presence of the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material is not necessarily determinative of nonstatutory subject matter. For example, a computer that recognizes a particular grouping of musical notes read from memory and upon recognizing that particular sequence, causes another defined series of notes to be played, defines a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data, and as such is statutory because it implements a statutory process.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows.

Claims 1-16 recite "maximum recording speed information, minimum recording speed information, maximum reproducing speed information, and minimum reproducing speed information", which do not impart functionality to a computer or computing device, and is thus considered nonfunctional descriptive material. Such nonfunctional descriptive material, in the absence of a functional interrelationship with a computer, does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and is thus non-statutory per se.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-29 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2621

Claim 17 recites, "recording, as reproduction-only data in a reproduction-only area, maximum recording speed information, minimum recording speed information, maximum reproducing speed information, and minimum reproducing speed information, which are used to indicate speed capabilities" and "recording or reproducing data on the information storage medium when a recording speed or a reproducing speed capability of the drives matches the maximum recording speed information, the minimum recording speed information, the maximum reproducing speed information, and the minimum reproducing speed information, which are unique features that prior art does not disclose.

Claims 18-26 are allowable because they depend from independent claim 17 discussed above.

Claims 27-29 recite features similar to those discussed in claim 17 above; thus, are also allowable.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

10/798,284 Art Unit: 2621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG Q. DANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hung Q Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621